## The State of Alabama's Babies G



National Average

Where children are born can affect their chances for a strong start in life. Babies need good health, strong families, and positive early learning experiences to foster their healthy brain development and help them realize their full potential.

This state profile provides a snapshot of how infants, toddlers, and their families are faring in each of these three policy domains. Within each domain, view data for selected child, family, and policy indicators compared to national averages. The profile begins with a demographic description of the state's babies and families to offer the broadest context for exploring what may be very different experiences of the state's youngest children.

## **Demographics**

#### Infants and toddlers in Alabama

Alabama is home to 171,061 babies, representing 3.4 percent of the state's population. As many as 48.2 percent live in households with incomes less than twice the federal poverty line (in 2021, about \$55,000 for a family of four<sup>1</sup>), placing them at economic disadvantage. The state's youngest children are diverse and are raised in a variety of family contexts and household structures.

1. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. Poverty Thresholds by Size of Family and Number of Children. https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/historical-poverty-thresholds.html

Race/ethnicity of infants and toddlers	
American Indian/Alaska Native   	0.4% 0.8%
Asian	<b>1.4%</b> 5.5%
Black	<b>30.3</b> % 14.0%
Hispanic	<b>9.4</b> % 26.2%
Multiple Races	<b>4.3</b> % 5.2%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander   	0.1% 0.2%
White	<b>54.1%</b> 48.2%
Percent Infant Toddler	
•	<b>3.4%</b> 3.3%
Population Infant Toddler	<b>171,061</b> <ul> <li>11,034,857</li> </ul>

Poverty status of infants and toddle	rs
Above Low-income	
	51.8%
	61.19
Low-income	
	25.29
	20.39
In Poverty	
	23.0% 18.6%
	10.0
In Deep Poverty**	
-	12.49 9.69
150% SMI	
150% SMI	
150% SMI	84.3
150% SMI	<b>84.3</b> % 78.0%
	78.09
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r	78.09
	78.09 ace
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r	78.09
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black	78.09 ace <b>42.2</b> 9
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r	78.09 ace <b>42.2</b> 9
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4°
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black Hispanic *	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4° 29.9°
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4° 29.9°
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black Hispanic *	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4° 29.9° 24.8°
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black Hispanic *	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4° 29.9° 24.8° 28.1°
Infants and toddlers in poverty, by r Black Hispanic *	78.0° ace 42.2° 35.4° 29.9° 24.8° 28.1°

#### Family Structure

	75.1
	77.6
One Parent	
	21.8
	19.9
No Parent	
•	3.1
	2.5

Alabama

Grand	parent-hea	aded hou	seholds
aranaj	parent net		30110103

	8.5%
-	8.1%

#### Living Outside of a Metro Area

8.3%		1 <b>4.8</b> %
		8.3%

#### Parent Work Status

	55.2% 62.0%
No Working Parents	
	6.4%
-	6.2%
In poverty, no working parents	
	18.9%
	24.5%
At least one parent works full time	
	79.5%
	75.8%

In poverty, at least one parent works full time \*

42.3%
35.0%

\*Numbers are small; use caution in interpreting. \*\*Subset of "In Poverty" Note: N/A indicates Not Available

# Good Health

## How are Alabama's babies faring in Good Health?

Supporting babies' and mothers' physical and mental health provides the foundation for infants' lifelong physical, cognitive, emotional, and social well-being. Babies' brains grow rapidly in the first years of life, and, in these early years, the brain works with other organs and organ systems to set the stage for subsequent development and health outcomes. Equitable access to good nutrition during the prenatal period and first years of life is key to ensure that babies receive the nourishment and care they need for a strong start in life. Strengthening equitable access to integrated, affordable maternal, pediatric, and family health care is also essential to meeting babies' and families' health and developmental needs.

Alabama falls in the Getting Started (G) tier for the Good Health domain. A state's ranking is based on indicators of maternal and child health, including health care coverage, prenatal care, birth outcomes, and receipt of recommended preventive care as well as nutrition and mental health. Alabama performs better than national averages on key indicators, such as the percentage of uninsured babies in families with low incomes and babies receiving recommended vaccinations. The state is performing worse than national averages on indicators such as the percentage of babies born at low birthweight and the infant mortality rate.

## Key Indicators of Good Health



\*Numbers are small; use caution in interpreting.

# Good Health Policy in Alabama Medicaid expansion state

Medicaid expansion state	No 🗙
CHIP maternal coverage for unborn child option <b>NR</b>	No 🗙
Postpartum extension of Medicaid coverage	Law covering all pregnant people for 1 year post-partum
Pregnant workers protection	No protections
State Medicaid policy for maternal depression screening in well-child visits	Recommended
Medicaid plan covers social-emotional screening for young children	Yes 🗸
Medicaid plan covers IECMH services at home	Yes 🗸
Medicaid plan covers IECMH services at pediatric/family medicine practices	Yes 🗸
Medicaid plan covers IECMH services in early childhood education settings	Yes 🗸
Note: N/A indicates Not Available	

State Indicator

National Avg

## All Good Health Indicators for Alabama

Health Care Coverage and Affordability			
G Eligibility limit (% FPL) for pregnant women in Medicaid	<b>146.0</b> 200.0	W Uninsured low-income infants and toddlers	<b>3.0%</b> 5.2%
G Medical home	<b>48.1%</b> 51.0%		
Nutrition			
Infants ever breastfed <b>NR</b>	<b>75.1%</b> 83.8%	G Infants breastfed at 6 months	<b>42.3%</b> 55.0%
High weight-for-length in WIC <b>NR</b>	<b>12.5%</b> NA	WIC coverage for infants	<b>100.0%</b> 98.4%
• WIC coverage for one-year-olds	<b>65.6%</b> 64.5%	• WIC coverage for two-year-olds	<b>51.4%</b> 48.1%
Maternal Health			
G Late or no prenatal care received	<b>7.9%</b> 6.4%	Maternal mortality rate (deaths per 100,000 live births) <b>NR</b>	<b>NA</b> 23.8
R Mothers reporting less than optimal mental health	<b>27.6%</b> 21.9%		
Children's Health			
G Babies born preterm	<b>12.9%</b> 10.1%	G Babies with low birthweight	<b>10.8%</b> 8.2%
G Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	<b>7.0</b> 5.4	R Preventive dental care received	<b>30.5%</b> 33.5%
R Preventive medical care received	<b>89.1%</b> 89.3%	Received recommended vaccines	<b>73.9%</b> 72.5%

Note: N/A indicates Not Available.




# **Strong Families**

#### How are Alabama's babies faring in Strong Families?

Young children develop in the context of their families, where stability, safety, and supportive relationships nurture their growth. All families may benefit from parenting supports, but families with low income and in historically marginalized communities of color face additional challenges that impact their babies' immediate and future well-being. Many policies can be designed to address these disparities by race, ethnicity, and income, including the provision of safe and stable housing, home visiting services, family-friendly employer policies, economic support for families with low income, and tax credits that benefit families with young children.

Alabama falls in the Getting Started (G) tier of states when it comes to indicators of Strong Families. The state's ranking in this domain reflects indicators on which it is performing better than the national average, such as the percentages of babies living in crowded housing and babies living in unsafe neighborhoods, as reported by parents. Alabama is doing worse than the national average on indicators such as the percentage of babies experiencing housing instability (moved 3 or more times) and babies who could benefit from home visiting receiving those services.

#### **Key Indicators of Strong Families**



\*Numbers are small; use caution in interpreting.

#### **Strong Families Policy in Alabama**

aid family leave	No 🗙
aid sick time that covers care for child	No 🗙
ANF work exemption	No 🗙
tate child tax credit	No 🗙
tate Earned Income Tax Credit	No 🗙
lote: N/A indicates Not Available	

## All Strong Families Indicators for Alabama State Indicator - National Avg

Basic I	leeds
---------	-------

<b>R</b> TANF benefits receipt among families in poverty	<b>8.1%</b> 19.0%	G Housing instability	<b>4.4%</b> 2.9%
Crowded housing	<b>8.8%</b> 15.2%	W Unsafe neighborhoods	<b>2.6%</b> 5.0%
R Low or very low food security	<b>15.3%</b> 14.2%		
Child Well-being and Resilience			
R Family resilience	<b>85.8%</b> 85.6%	1 adverse childhood experience <b>NR</b>	<b>19.0%</b> 18.6%
R 2 or more adverse childhood experiences	<b>9.5%</b> 7.2%	Infant/toddler maltreatment rate (per 1,000 children ages 0-2)	NR 19.7 15.5
Removed from home <b>NR</b>	<b>7.0</b> 6.6	Time in out-of-home placement <b>NR</b>	<b>47.6%</b> 33.9%
Permanency: Adopted <b>NR</b>	<b>28.2%</b> 34.2%	Permanency: Guardian <b>NR</b>	<b>2.6%</b> 7.9%
Permanency: Relative <b>NR</b>	<b>39.5%</b> 7.0%	Permanency: Reunified <b>NR</b>	<b>28.4%</b> 49.8%
<b>G</b> Potential home visiting beneficiaries served	<b>1.2%</b> 2.1%		


# **Positive Early Learning Experiences**

#### How are Alabama's babies faring in Positive Early Learning?

Infants and toddlers learn through interactions with the significant adults in their lives and active exploration of enriching environments. The quality of babies' early learning experiences at home and in other care settings can impact their cognitive and social-emotional development as well as early literacy. High-quality early childhood care can strengthen parents' interactions with their children in the home learning environment and support parents' ability to go to work or attend school. Equitable access to high-quality care across factors like race, ethnicity, and income ensures all infants and toddlers have the opportunity for optimal development. However, disparities in access to high-quality care remain across many states and communities in the United States.

Alabama scores in the Getting Started (G) tier for Positive Early Learning Experiences. The state's ranking in this domain reflects indicators on which it is performing better than the national average, such as the percentage of babies in families with incomes equal to or below 150 percent of the state median income who received a child care subsidy. Alabama is doing worse than the national average on indicators such as the percentage of parents who read to their babies daily. Beginning with the 2022 profile, infant care costs as a percentage of the state's median income for single and married parents are not factored into the ranking.

#### Key Indicators of Positive Early Learning Experiences



\*Numbers are small; use caution in interpreting.

#### Positive Early Learning Experiences Policy in Alabama Adult/child ratio

Adult/child ratio	EHS standards met for 0 of 3 age groups	
Level of teacher qualification required by the state beyond a high school diploma	No credential beyond a high school diploma	
Group size	EHS standards met for 0 of 3 age groups	
Infant/toddler professional credential NR	No 🗙	
Families above 200% of FPL eligible for child care subsidy	No 🗙	
State reimburses center-based child care	No 🗙	
At-risk children included in Part C eligibility definition <b>NR</b>	No 🗙	
Nister N/A indicates Nist Augustable		

Note: N/A indicates Not Available

## All Positive Early Learning Experiences Indicators for Alabama

State Indicator

#### Activities that Support Early Learning

G	Parent reads to baby every day	<b>31.8%</b> 37.4%	G	Parent sings to baby every day	<b>52.4%</b> 58.1%
Ac	cess to Early Learning Programs				
G	% Income-eligible infants/toddlers with Early Head Start access	<b>9.0%</b> 11.0%	W	Low/moderate income infants/toddlers in CCDF-funded care	<b>7.9%</b> 4.7%
	Cost of care, as % of income married families <b>NR</b>	<b>8.9%</b> NA		Cost of care, as % of income single parents <b>NR</b>	35.0% NA
Ea	rly Intervention				
R	Developmental screening received	<b>33.1%</b> 34.2%	G	Percentage of infants/toddlers receiving IDEA Part C services	<b>4.3%</b> 6.8%
	Timeliness of Part C services <b>NR</b>	99.6% NA			

Note: N/A indicates Not Available.